

# Asian Journal of Green Chemistry

Journal homepage: www.ajgreenchem.com



### **Original Resarch Article**

# Synergistic effect of common fertilizer with potassium chromate on the corrosion inhibition of mild steel in 1 M HCl

Narjes Ibrahem Khaled\* 💿, Fadhel Ismael Hamed, Khitam Zanad Abdul sada

Department of Chemical and Petrochemical Techniques Engineering, Southern Technical University, Basra/Iraq

#### ARTICLE INFORMATION

ABSTRACT

Received: 23 March 2021 Received in revised: 18 May 2021 Accepted: 7 June 2021 Available online: 24 July 2021

DOI: 10.22034/ajgc.2021.276314.1300

#### KEYWORDS

NPK1 Urea NPK2 K2CrO4 Mild Steel and Acid Mild steel is an affordable engineering material used for various applications, including the mild acidic environment with some precautions. The (NPK<sub>1</sub>, Urea, DAP, and NPK<sub>2</sub>), inhibition efficiency in the control of the carbon steel corrosion in solution that contains 1M HCl in the absence and presence of the potassium chromate ( $K_2CrO_4$ ) as one of the oxidizing agents were assessed through the weight loss. and spectrophotometer. Results have exhibited that the formulation consisting of 100 ppm from every one of (Urea, NPK<sub>1</sub>, DAP, and NPK<sub>2</sub>) and 100 ppm  $K_2CrO_4$  presents 81.08%, 92%, 90% and 97%, respectively efficiency of inhibition. Whereas 100 ppm from every one of the Urea, NPK<sub>1</sub>, DAP, and NPK<sub>2</sub>, offers 63.27%, 69.93%, 76.56% and 71.69%, respectively efficiency of inhibition and 100 ppm of  $K_2CrO_4$  had given 76% efficiency of inhibition. Fe<sup>+2</sup>/Fe<sup>+3</sup> concentration in the solution is decreased with the increase in the concentration of the inhibitor. The inhibitor's properties of the adsorption were found to be obeying the isotherm of Langmuir adsorption.

© 2021 by SPC (Sami Publishing Company), Asian Journal of Green Chemistry, Reproduction is permitted for noncommercial purposes.

### **Graphical Abstract**



#### Introduction

The acid solutions are typically utilized in industry, with industrial acid cleaning, acid pickling, oil well acidification and acid decaling being the most considerable application area [1]. It has been identified that nitrogen and the organic compounds containing the sulfur have resulted in the inhibition of the carbon steel dissolution conduct in the acidic [2]. Those compounds include-donating groups, reducing the corrosion rate through increasing hydrogen overvoltage on corroding metals [3]. Using compounds that contain the nitrogen as corrosion inhibitor is quite widespread. By the transfer of the electrons, those compounds have the ability of attaching to the surfaces of the metals for the purpose of forming a coordinate bond and thereby, a sufficient iron inhibition [4, 5]. Most effective and efficient inhibitors have  $\pi$ -bonds and heteroatoms (P, S, N, and O) with lone pair electrons that can inhibit the corrosion of metal in aqueous acid solutions [6]. The present study has been intended for the exploration of synergistic impact of a number of the organic fertilizer types in presence and absence of the potassium chromate  $(K_2CrO_4)$  as one of the oxidizing agents on the method of the weight-loss and the spectrophotometer inhibition of the corrosion of the carbon steel in 1 M of the HCl.

#### Experimental

#### Preparation of specimen

In the present study, mild steel coupons (with the dimensions of  $4.5 \times 2 \times 0.3$  cm) with composition presented in Table 1 were utilized. For the purpose of preparing polished surface for every one of the tests, the coupon surface was abraded in a sequential manner with the emery grit paper between 400 and 1,000

grades. Coupons were cleaned with the double distilled water following the process of the polishing; de-greased by the use of the acetone and finally dried prior to being utilized in the stream of warm air.

#### Inhibitor

There fertilizer types were utilized in the present research, which are; (phosphorus, potassium and nitrogen) (NPK) of a purity equal to 99%; Di-ammonium phosphate (NH<sub>3</sub>) HPO<sub>4</sub> of 98% purity, and Urea (CH<sub>4</sub> N<sub>2</sub>O) of 99% purity were used as it has been acquired from manufacturers. In addition to that, 99% purity K<sub>2</sub>CrO<sub>4</sub> has been utilized as an agent of oxidation alone and with every one of the fertilizers as mentioned. All inhibitors' chemical structure draw by Chem. Office is illustrated in Figure 1.

Aggressive solutions, 1M HCl have been produced from the distilled water through diluting the analytical grade (37%) hydrochloric acid. The utilized range of the inhibitor concentration was between (50 ppm and 100 ppm).

#### Approach of weight loss

The ASTM designation G 31–72 performed experimentations of immersion. In presence and absence of  $K_2CrO_4$  for at 25 °C 1 day, samples that were already weighted, have been separately immersed in 500 mL of 1 M of the solutions of the HCl, which comprise the distinct levels of the inhibitor. Samples have been removed, washed, dried then re-weighed once more following the elapsed moment. According to the weight loss information that has been measured, the equations below have been utilized for the calculation of the rate of corrosion (in mpy), coverage of the surface ( $\Theta$ ) and effectiveness of the inhibition (%IE) [7].



**Figure 1.** The researched chemical structures of inhibitors. (a) NPK (b) Urea (c) DAP (d) Potassium Chromate

$$CR = \frac{KW}{AtD}$$
(1)

K represents the constant (534) A represents the area ( $in^2$ )W represents the weight loss (mg) D represents the mild steel density (7.86 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) T represents the time (h)

$$\Theta = \frac{CRo - CRi}{CRo}$$
(2)

CRo & CRi represent the rates of the corrosion in presence and absence of the inhibitor.

$$\% IE = \Theta \times 100 \tag{3}$$

#### Metal Ion solution analysis

The evaluation was carried out with the use of the UVvis spectrophotometer for the purpose of determining total ions ( $Fe^{+2}/Fe^{+3}$ ) that have been entered in test solution of corrosion.

#### **Result and Discussions**

#### Weight loss evaluation

Weight-loss studies were performed 1 M of the HCl acid in a presence and absence of a variety of the levels of the inhibitor ranging between (50 ppm and 100 ppm). From Equation 1, Equation 2 and Equation 3; the

corrosion rate, inhibition effectiveness and coverage of inhibitor surface have been computed, then listed in Table 2. Adding any studied inhibitors to 1 M of the HCl was found to result in decreasing the corrosion rate, whereas the inhibition effectiveness had increased. Figures 2a-d indicates corrosion variations with inhibitor levels, whereas Figure **3a-d** have demonstrated the inhibition effectiveness variations with the inhibitor levels. The optimal (NPK-1, NPK-2, DAP and Urea) concentration has been found 100 ppm with the maximal effectiveness of inhibition (69.93%, 71.69%, 76.56%, and 63.27%); for 1 day of the time of immersion, respectively. Those outcomes have shown that the inhibitors of the medium may be behaving like a sufficient corrosion inhibitor for the mild steel. Which can be considered as an indication of the fact that the response of inhibitor on the surface of mild steel had reached a state of the equilibrium.

As seen in Figure 1, NPK-1, NPK-2, DAP, and Urea have a complex compound, containing heteroatoms in its molecules like the nitrogen, oxygen and sulfur. Mild steel corrosion inhibition may be ascribed to the adsorption of the component via those atoms, and that has been taken under consideration as an adsorption center on the surface of the metal [8, 9].

Figure 2 and Figure 3 demonstrate that  $(K_2CrO_4 + NPK1)$ ,  $(K_2CrO_4 + NPK2)$ ,  $(K_2CrO_4 + Urea)$  and  $(K_2CrO_4+DAP)$  have exhibited preferable synergistic impacts for the purpose of avoiding mild steel corrosion, which results from the adsorption and the metal surface protective film's shape. In addition to that, potassium chromate has been known as one of the sufficient oxidizing anodic inhibitors, protecting mild steel from the corrosive media of the HCl through making it in passive state, resulting in the prevention of the passive oxide

breakdown that may result in the uniform corrosion [10].

Figure 4 illustrates that the effectiveness of the submission at distinct potassium chromate levels has been increased with the increase in potassium chromate concentration and reached a maximal 100 ppm (76.68%) value.

Table 2, includes as well the value of the absorbance that has been acquired at distinct inhibitor levels from spectrophotometer. The significance of the reduction of absorbance with the concentration of the incremental inhibitors of every studied inhibitor has been noted. It implies that in the presence of the inhibitor the concentration of electrons ( $Fe^{+2}/Fe^{+3}$ ) has been decrease in the solution.

**Table 2.** parameters of corrosion that has been obtained for 1 day from the mild steel weight loss in 1 M of the HCl that contains a variety of the levels of inhibitor at a temperature of 25 °C

Concentrations (ppm)	ΔW	CR	%IE	θ	abc
0	0.0296	2.1309	0	0	0.287
50	0.0114	0.8207	61.486	0.6148	0.176
60	0.0107	0.7703	63.851	0.6385	0.168
70	0.0101	0.7271	65.878	0.6587	0.155
80	0.0098	0.7055	66.892	0.6689	0.128
90	0.0095	0.6839	67.905	0.6790	0.116
100	0.0089	0.6407	69.932	0.6993	0.102
0	0.0265	1.9077	0.0	0.0	0.298
50	0.0122	0.8783	53.962	0.5396	0.197
60	0.0098	0.7055	63.019	0.6301	0.179
70	0.0092	0.6623	65.283	0.6528	0.171
80	0.0084	0.6047	68.302	0.6830	0.168
90	0.0077	0.5543	70.943	0.7094	0.157
100	0.0075	0.5399	71.698	0.7169	0.126
0	0.0373	2.6852	0.0	0.0	0.212
50	0.0174	1.2526	53.351	0.5335	0.092
60	0.0156	1.1230	58.177	0.5817	0.087
70	0.0147	1.0582	60.590	0.6059	0.061
80	0.0144	1.0367	61.394	0.6139	0.055
90	0.0141	1.0151	62.198	0.6219	0.049
100	0.0137	0.9863	63.271	0.6327	0.036
0	0.0431	3.103	0.0	0.0	0.205
50	0.0211	1.519	51.044	0.5104	0.076
60	0.0194	1.397	54.988	0.5498	0.069
70	0.0157	1.130	63.573	0.6357	0.059
80	0.0135	0.972	68.677	0.6867	0.055
	Concentrations (ppm) 0 50 60 70 80 90 100 0 50 60 70 80 80 90 100 0 50 60 70 80 70 8	Concentrations (ppm) $\Delta W$ 00.0296500.0114600.0107700.0101800.0098900.00951000.008900.0265500.0122600.0098700.0092800.00771000.007500.0373500.0174600.0156700.0147800.01411000.013700.0431500.211600.0194700.0157800.0135	Concentrations (ppm) $\Delta W$ CR00.02962.1309500.01140.8207600.01070.7703700.01010.7271800.00980.7055900.00950.68391000.00890.640700.2651.9077500.01220.8783600.00980.7055700.00920.6623800.00840.6047900.00770.55431000.00750.539900.03732.6852500.01741.2526600.01561.1230700.01471.0582800.01441.0367900.01411.01511000.01370.986300.04313.103500.2111.519600.01571.130800.01350.972	Concentrations (ppm) $\Delta W$ $CR$ $\%IE$ 00.02962.13090500.01140.820761.486600.01070.770363.851700.01010.727165.878800.00980.705566.892900.00950.683967.9051000.00890.640769.93200.02651.90770.0500.01220.878353.962600.00980.705563.019700.00920.662365.283800.00840.604768.302900.00770.554370.9431000.00750.539971.69800.03732.68520.0500.01471.252653.351600.01471.058260.590800.01411.015162.1981000.01370.986363.27100.01370.986363.27100.01411.51951.044600.01941.39754.988700.01571.13063.573800.01350.97268.677	Concentrations (ppm) $\Delta W$ CR $\%$ IE $\Theta$ 00.02962.130900500.01140.820761.4860.6148600.01070.770363.8510.6385700.01010.727165.8780.6587800.00980.705566.8920.6689900.00950.683967.9050.67901000.00890.640769.9320.699300.02651.90770.00.0500.01220.878353.9620.5396600.00980.705563.0190.6301700.00920.662365.2830.6528800.00770.554370.9430.70941000.00750.539971.6980.716900.01741.252653.3510.5335600.01471.058260.5900.6059800.01441.036761.3940.6139900.01411.015162.1980.62191000.01370.986363.2710.632700.02111.51951.0440.5104600.01941.39754.9880.5498700.01571.13063.5730.6357800.01350.97268.6770.6867

	90	0.0102	0.734	76.334	0.7633	0.042
	100	0.0101	0.727	76.566	0.7656	0.027
	0	0.0276	0.0126	0.0	0.0	0.283
	50	0.0064	0.0029	76.8116	0.7681	0.0367
NDV	60	0.0047	0.0021	82.971	0.8297	0.0321
$NPK_1 + $	70	0.0041	0.0019	85.1449	0.8514	0.0276
$K_2CrO_4$	80	0.0031	0.0014	88.7681	0.8876	0.0258
	90	0.0029	0.0013	89.4928	0.8949	0.0202
	100	0.0021	0.0010	92.3913	0.9239	0.0115
	0	0.0376	0.0172	0.0	0.0	0.298
	50	0.0105	0.0048	72.074	0.7207	0.092
NPK <sub>2</sub>	60	0.0097	0.0044	74.202	0.7420	0.071
+	70	0.0047	0.0021	87.500	0.8750	0.062
$K_2CrO_4$	80	0.0035	0.0016	90.691	0.9069	0.053
	90	0.0022	0.0010	94.149	0.9414	0.049
	100	0.0011	0.0005	97.074	0.9707	0.032
	0	0.0573	0.0262	0.0	0.0	0.393
	50	0.028	0.0128	50.963	0.5096	0.121
Urea	60	0.0258	0.0118	54.816	0.5481	0.094
+	70	0.0228	0.0104	60.070	0.6007	0.089
$K_2CrO_4$	80	0.0199	0.0091	65.149	0.6514	0.071
	90	0.0145	0.0066	74.606	0.7460	0.059
	100	0.0108	0.0049	81.086	0.8108	0.033
	0	0.0571	0.0261	0.0	0.0	0.215
	50	0.0187	0.0085	67.250	0.6725	0.037
DAP	60	0.0167	0.0076	70.753	0.7075	0.031
+	70	0.0144	0.0066	74.781	0.7478	0.028
K <sub>2</sub> CrO <sub>4</sub>	80	0.0112	0.0051	80.385	0.8038	0.022
	90	0.0082	0.0037	85.639	0.8563	0.017
	100	0.0053	0.0024	90.718	0.9071	0.013
	0	0.0296	0.1352	0.0	0.0	0.321
	50	0.0113	0.0516	61.824	0.6182	0.072
	60	0.0105	0.0480	64.527	0.6452	0.064
$K_2CrO_4$	70	0.0101	0.0461	65.878	0.6587	0.061
	80	0.0095	0.0434	67.905	0.6790	0.057
	90	0.0085	0.0388	71.284	0.7128	0.045
	100	0.0069	0.0315	76.689	0.7668	0.031

#### Isotherm of adsorption

The isotherm of the adsorption has the ability to provide the basic data concerning interactions of inhibitor with the surface of the mild steel. Numerous attempts were made for the purpose of accommodating a variety of the isotherms, which include the Frumkin, Frendlich, Temkin, Flory–Huggins, Bockriss, and Langmuir isotherms [11]. Results were best equipped with the Langmuir's isotherm of adsorption in this study. Based on this isotherm, the coverage of the surface ( $\Theta$ ) is associated with the concentration of the inhibitor (C) by [12, 13]:

$$\frac{\theta}{1-\theta} = K_{ads}.C$$
 (4)

Re-arranging eq4 will give:



**Figure 2.** Corrosion rate variations with inhibitor concentrations in 1M of the HCl on the surface of the mild steel at 25 °C





Figure 3. Inhibition efficiency variation with the concentration of the inhibitors in 1M of the HCl on the surface of the mild steel at 25  $^{\circ}$ C



**Figure 4.** Inhibition efficiency variation with the concentration of the inhibitors for the potassium chromate in 1 M of the HCl on the surface of the mild steel at 25  $^{\circ}$ C

A fitted straight line with the slopes near 1, as can be observed in, was obtained from C/ $\Theta$  vs. C plots as shown in Figure 5, and all parameters are tabulated in Table 3. The powerful relation (R<sup>2</sup> higher than 0.90) is an indication of the fact that Langmuir's isotherm of adsorption has been succeeded with the adsorption of the inhibitor on the surface of the mild steel. As can be seen in Table 3, the maximal value of the constant of adsorption

equilibrium (K  $_{ads}$ ) for (K<sub>2</sub>CrO<sub>4</sub> + NPK2) in (ppm-1) is an indication of the fact that inhibitors had the tendency of desorb on the surface of the mild steel [14, 15].

#### Conclusions

The conclusions below may be obtained from this research study:

1. Combining  $(K_2CrO_4 + NPK_1)$ ,  $(K_2CrO_4 + Urea)$ ,  $(K_2CrO_4 + NPK_2)$ , and  $(K_2CrO_4 + DAP)$  inhibitors have resulted in the production of an increased efficiency of the inhibition in 1 M of the HCl with the mild steel. This resulted from the synergistic impact of the inhibitors on mild steel in that medium.

2. Synergistic inhibitor adsorptions on the surface of the mild steel were found to be following Langmuir's isotherm from the experimental information fit at every studied concentration.

3.  $Fe^{+2}/Fe^{+3}$  concentrations in the solution were reduced with increasing the concentration of the inhibitor for any utilized inhibitor.

**Table 3.** Adsorption parameters for the inhibitors that have been obtained from the adsorption of

 Langmuir isotherm

Additive	Parameter of Adsorption				
	R <sup>2</sup>	Slope	Intercept	K <sub>ads</sub> (ppm <sup>-1</sup> )	
$NPK_1 + K_2CrO_4$	0.9589	0.6304	38.8990	0.0250	
Urea + K <sub>2</sub> CrO <sub>4</sub>	0.8188	0.4740	79.6010	0.0120	
$NPK_2 + K_2CrO_4$	0.9980	0.8811	20.3460	0.0490	
$DAP + K_2CrO_4$	0.9776	0.7036	41.8330	0.0230	



**Figure 5.** model of Langmuir's isothermic adsorption of the synergistic inhibitor in 1M HCl on a mild metal surface at 25 °C

#### **Disclosure Statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

#### Orcid

Narjes Ibrahem Khaled D 0000-0002-4028-3637

#### References

 Hosseini M.G., Arshadi M.R. Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2009, 4:1339
 Al-Mammar D. Iraqi Journal of Science, 2008, 49:21
 Tariq M., Saleem M., Usmani S., Ahmed I., Ahmed F., Mairaj K. Journal of King Saud University Science, 2019, 31:1344 [4]. Obot I.B., Obi-Egbedi N.O. Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2009, 4:1277 [5]. Bothi P., Gopalakrishnan M. Materials Letters., 2008, 62:113 [6]. Temitope B., Felix D., Abiodun A., Ajibade I. Journal of Chemistry-Section A, 2020, 3:485 [7]. Mobin M., Shabnam H. Materials Research, 2011, **14**:524 [8]. Ateeq A.A. International Journal of Advanced Research in Chemical Science, 2017, 4:24 [9]. Dohare P., Quraishi M.A., Obet I.B. J. Chem. Sci., 2018, **130**:1 [10]. Mohammad Meshari S. Basra Journal of Science A., 2012, 30:142 [11]. Adeshola O., Olusegun J., Olumuyiwa J. Journal of Engineering and Applied Science., 2018, 13:5789 [12]. Suleiman I., Salihu S. Iran J. Sci. Technol. Sci. 2018, 42:1977 [13]. Fouda A.S., Elmorsi M.A., Elmekkawy A., A African Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry, 2013, 7:337 [14]. Zhang D., Cai Q., He X., Gao L., Kim G., Corrosion Science, 2009, 51:2349 [15]. Qu Q., Hao Z., Li L., Bai W., Liu Y., Z. Ding, *Corrosion Science*, 2009, **51**:569 How to cite this manuscript: Narjes Ibrahem Khaled\*, Fadhel Ismael Hamed, Khitam Zanad Abdul sada, Synergistic effect of common fertilizer with potassium chromate on the corrosion inhibition of mild steel in 1 M HCl. Asian Journal of Green Chemistry, 5(3) 2021, 278-286. DOI: 10.22034/ajgc.2021.276314.1300